1	Timothy J. Bernasek, WSBA No. 4366 Email: tbernasek@dunncarney.com	104 Honorable Rosanna M. Peterson
2	John R. Barhoum, WSBA No. 42776	
3	Email: jbarhoum@dunncarney.com Dunn Carney Allen Higgins & Tongue	LLP
	851 SW Sixth Avenue, Suite 1500	
	Portland, OR 97204-1357 Telephone: 503-224-6440	
5	Fax: 503-224-7324	
6	Attorneys for Defendants Western Rang	ge Association
7		
8	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN D	ISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10	ELVIS RUIZ FRANCISCO	
11	JAVIER, CASTRO and EDUARDO MARTINEZ,	Case No. 2:11-cv-03088-RMP
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	v.) DEFENDANT WESTERN) RANGE ASSOCIATION'S
14	MAX FERNANDEZ and ANN	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS
15	FERNANDEZ, a Marital community; and WESTERN) CONCISE STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS IN
	RANGE ASSOCIATION, a foreign	SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR
16	nonprofit organization,) SUMMARY JUDGMENT
17	Defendants.	Ó
18		
19	Pursuant to Local Rule 56.1, De-	fendant Western Range Association ("WRA")
20	submits this Reply in Support of its Co	oncise Statement of Material Facts in Support
21	of its Motion for Summary Judgment ("	*Concise Statement").
22	1. In support of Paragraph 1 of	WRA's Concise Statement, WRA submits the
	FACTS IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FO	F ITS CONCISE STATEMENT OF MATERIAL OR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 1 DUNN CARNEY ALLEN HIGGINS & TONGUE LLP Attorneys at Law

851 SW Sixth Avenue, Suite 1500 Portland, OR 97204-1357 503.224.6440 / Fax: 503.224.7324 1 following reply:

WRA did not anticipate that Plaintiffs would object to WRA's status as a non-2

profit or its purpose, both of which are matters of public record. To the extent that

additional support is required, WRA attaches the Articles of Incorporation and

Washington Secretary of State Registration Detail for Western Range Association.

Exhibit 6 to Second Declaration of Timothy J. Bernasek (Articles of Incorporation);

Exhibit 7 to Second Declaration of Timothy J. Bernasek (Secretary of State

Registration Detail). These documents speak for themselves regarding WRA's status

and purpose.

2. In support of Paragraph 3 of WRA's Concise Statement, WRA submits the 10

11 following reply:

WRA objects to Plaintiffs' response. WRA has made clear that it simply 12

13 facilitates the H-2A process for its members. See Dkt. 162-1, Richins Depo., at 77,

14 117 (describing WRA as the vehicle that brings the workers in and assists with H-2A

15 compliance). To the extent that Plaintiffs assert that WRA's role was anything more

16 than a facilitator of the H-2A process, WRA objects to Plaintiffs' characterization.

17 Further, Plaintiffs themselves sought out work opportunities with WRA and willingly

18 entered into the H-2A process, as described directly below.

3. In support of Paragraph 4 of WRA's Concise Statement, WRA submits the

20 following reply:

19

WRA objects to Plaintiffs' response. Plaintiff Castro testified that his cousin 21

22 told him about working for WRA, and at his direction, his cousin provided WRA's

DEF. WRA'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS CONCISE STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 2 DUNN CARNEY ALLEN HIGGINS & TONGUE LLP

- 1 recruiter with his information. Dkt No. 133-2, Castro Depo., at 61-63. Similarly,
- 2 Plaintiff Martinez testified that, at his direction, his brother-in-law provided WRA's
- 3 recruiter with his information. Dkt No. 133-7, Martinez Depo., at 46-47. Finally,
- 4 Plaintiff Ruiz stated simply that he "signed up" with the recruiter. Dkt. 133-1, Ruiz
- 5 Depo., at 17. Thus, each Plaintiff affirmatively expressed an interest in working in
- 6 the United States to WRA's recruiter. WRA has demonstrated that its recruiters were
- 7 not employees of WRA, and simply provided WRA with a list of names of herders
- 8 that were interested in coming to work in the United States. Dkt No. 162-2, Peters
- 9 Depo., at 44. As explained above, WRA has made clear that it simply facilitates the
- 10 H-2A process for its members. See Dkt. 162-1, Richins Depo., at 77, 117.
- 4. In support of Paragraph 5 of WRA's Concise Statement, WRA submits the 12 following reply:
- WRA objects to Plaintiffs' response. As stated above, WRA has made clear
- 14 that it simply facilitates the H-2A process for its members. See Dkt. 162-1, Richins
- 15 Depo., at 77, 117. While WRA initially covers the cost of transporting Plaintiffs to
- 16 the United States, member ranches reimburse these transportation costs to WRA. Dkt
- 17 No. 162-2, Peters Depo., at 95-97.
- 5. In support of Paragraph 6 of WRA's Concise Statement, WRA submits the
- 19 following reply:
- WRA objects to Plaintiffs' response. Plaintiffs object to WRA's
- 21 characterization that Plaintiffs worked as "sheepherders" for Fernandez. Dkt No. 165
- 22 at 4. WRA relies on the pre-employment agreement and application for Plaintiffs in

DEF. WRA'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS CONCISE STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 3

DUNN CARNEY ALLEN HIGGINS & TONGUE LLP

Attorneys at Law 851 SW Sixth Avenue, Suite 1500 Portland, OR 97204-1357 503.224.6440 / Fax: 503.224.7324

- 1 support of its assertion that Plaintiffs worked as sheepherders. Dkt No. 146-6 (Pre-
- 2 employment agreement); Dkt No. 146-5 (Application Agreement). The issue of the
- 3 type of work performed by Plaintiffs while they were employed by Fernandez is
- 4 outside the scope of WRA's briefing.
- 6. In support of Paragraph 7 of WRA's Concise Statement, WRA submits the
- 6 following reply:
- WRA objects to Plaintiffs' response. Plaintiffs' objection to this statement is
- 8 circuitous, contradictory, and contains improper legal arguments. Plaintiffs' admit
- 9 that the Department of Labor sets the wages for H-2A workers. 20 C.F.R. 655.120;
- 10 see also Dkt No. 146-14 (Employment Agreement); see also US DOL Report, Dkt
- 11 No. 133-4 at 3. However, Plaintiffs contend that since WRA requires its members to
- 12 pay the wage set by the DOL, WRA somehow determines the wage rate for Plaintiffs.
- 13 Plaintiffs' argument is nonsensical DOL sets the wage rate for Plaintiffs. WRA's
- 14 members pay that rate. WRA does not have any control over the rate. In fact,
- 15 WRA's representative testified that a member could pay more than the required rate,
- 16 he just couldn't pay less or he would be in violation of DOL regulations. Dkt No.
- 17 162-1, Richins Depo., at 176.
- 7. In support of Paragraph 8 of WRA's Concise Statement, WRA submits the
- 19 following reply:
- WRA objects to Plaintiffs' response. WRA issued a comprehensive response
- 21 to Plaintiffs' objections, and refers the Court to the response for additional factual
- 22 support of WRA's position. Dkt No. 163. As relevant to this Court's inquiry, control

DEF. WRA'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS CONCISE STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 4
DUNN CARNEY ALLEN HIGGINS & TONGUE LLP

Attorneys at Law 851 SW Sixth Avenue, Suite 1500 Portland, OR 97204-1357 503.224.6440 / Fax: 503.224.7324

1 refers to the day-to-day control exercised by an employer over an employee. WRA
2 has clearly demonstrated that it did not exercise control over Plaintiffs in any
3 meaningful way. Dkt No. 163.
8. In support of Paragraph 10 of WRA's Concise Statement, WRA submits
5 the following reply:
WRA objects to Plaintiffs' response. WRA has fully responded to Plaintiffs'
7 hearsay argument in its contemporaneous briefing filed with the Court today, and
8 directs the Court to its briefing in response. In response to Plaintiffs' factual
9 arguments regarding the report, the report clearly demonstrates that the DOL
10 analyzed the economic realities of the relationship between WRA, Fernandez, and the
11 workers, and found that each factor in the Torres-Lopez case weighed against finding
12 that WRA was a joint employer of Plaintiffs. Dkt No. 133-4, US DOL Report, at 3.
13 Dated: January 28, 2013.
14
DUNN CARNEY ALLEN HIGGINS & TONGUE LLE
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Timothy J. Bernasek, WSBA No. 43604
Email: tbernasek@dunncarney.com John R. Barhoum, WSBA No. 42776
Email: jbarhoum@dunncarney.com Attorneys for Defendant Western Range Association
Trial Attorney: Timothy J. Bernasek
WSBA No. 43604
22

DEF. WRA'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS CONCISE STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 5

DCAPDX_898593_v1 DUNN CARNEY ALLEN HIGGINS & TONGUE LLP

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	
3	I hereby certify that on January 14, 2013, I caused the foregoing document to
4	be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system and
5	caused it to be served by mail to the following:
6	Michele Besso : <u>micheleb@nwjustice.org</u>
7	Weeun Wang : <u>wwang@farmworkerjustice.org</u>
8	Gary E. Lofland : <u>glofland@glofland.net</u>
9	John Jay Carroll : <u>jcarroll@halvorsonNW.com</u>
10	Dated: January 28, 2013.
11	
12	DUNN CARNEY ALLEN HIGGINS & TONGUE LLP
13	
14	Timothy J. Bernasek, WSBA No. 43604
15	Email: tbernasek@dunncarney.com John R. Barhoum, WSBA No. 42776
16	Email: jbarhoum@dunncarney.com Attorneys for Defendant Western Range Association
17	Trial Attorney: Timothy J. Bernasek
18	WSBA No. 43604
19	
20	
21	
22	